INTEGRABLE SPINOR MODELS IN TWO DIMENSIONAL SPACE-TIME. 3

Vladimir S. Gerdjikov

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy and Institute of Mathematics and Informatics Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria Institute for Advanced Physical Studies and Quanterall, Sofia, Bulgaria

- Zakharov Shabat dressing method and soliton solutions
- Soliton solutions of the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio–Vaks–Larkin model

The inverse scattering problem for L is equivalent to the following

Riemann–Hilbert problem

Introduce new fundamental analytic solutions:

$$\xi^{\pm}(x,t,\lambda) = \chi^{\pm}(x,\lambda)\hat{\Psi}_0(x,\lambda).$$

Advantage: canonical normalization. If the Lax operator depends polynomially on λ people choose:

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \xi^{\pm}(x, t, \lambda) = \mathbb{1}.$$

In our case we choose different normalization, namely:

$$\lim_{\lambda \to a} \xi^{\pm}(x, t, \lambda) = \mathbb{1}, \qquad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

On the real axis of the complex λ -plane we have:

$$\xi^{+}(x,\lambda) = \xi^{-}(x,\lambda)G(x,t,\lambda), \qquad G(x,t,\lambda) = \Psi_{0}(x,\lambda)G_{0}(t,\lambda)\Psi_{0}^{-1}(x,\lambda).$$
(1)

Riemann-Hilbert problem: Given the sewing function $\Psi_0(x,\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ construct the fundamental analytic solutions $\xi^+(x,\lambda)$ analytic for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and $\xi^-(x,\lambda)$ analytic for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_-$ such that they satisfy the canonical normalization and eq. (1).

Remember: $\xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda)$ satisfy the equation:

$$i\frac{\partial\xi^{\pm}}{\partial x} = \frac{U_0(x)}{\lambda - a}\xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda) - \xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda)\frac{J}{\lambda - a}.$$
(2)

If we find the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem, the we can immediately find also $U_0(x)$. Multiply eq. (2) by $\lambda - a$ and by $\hat{\xi}^{\pm}(x,\lambda)$ on the right, then take the limit $\lambda \to a$:

$$\lim_{\lambda \to a} : i(\lambda - a) \frac{\partial \xi^{\pm}}{\partial x} \hat{\xi}^{\pm}(x, \lambda) = U_0(x) - \xi^{\pm} J \hat{\xi}^{\pm}(x, \lambda),$$

$$U_{0}(x) = \lim_{\lambda \to a} \left(\xi^{\pm} J \hat{\xi}^{\pm}(x, \lambda) - i(\lambda - a) \frac{\partial \xi^{\pm}}{\partial x} \hat{\xi}^{\pm}(x, \lambda) \right)$$

$$= J - i \lim_{\lambda \to a} (\lambda - a) \left(\frac{\partial \xi^{\pm}}{\partial x} \hat{\xi}^{\pm}(x, \lambda) \right).$$
(3)



Riemann–Hilbert problem – canonical case

$$L\psi \equiv \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q(x,t) - \lambda J\right)\psi(x,\lambda) = 0,$$

$$M\psi \equiv \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + V_0(x,t) + \lambda V_1(x,t) - 2\lambda^2 J\right)\psi(x,\lambda) = 0,$$
 (4)

$$Q(x,t) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \boldsymbol{q}(x)\\\boldsymbol{r}(x) & 0\end{array}\right), \qquad J = \left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0\\0 & -\mathbf{1}\end{array}\right),$$

where Q(x,t) and J are $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrices with compatible block structure and $V_0(x,t)$, $V_1(x,t)$ are expressed in terms of Q and its x-derivative:

$$V_1(x,t) = 2Q(x,t), \qquad V_0(x,t) = -[Q, \mathrm{ad}_J^{-1}Q] + 2i\mathrm{ad}_J^{-1}Q_x.$$
 (5)

$$\operatorname{ad}_{J}Q = [J,Q] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2\boldsymbol{q} \\ -2\boldsymbol{r} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\operatorname{ad}_{J})^{2}Q = [J,[J,Q]] = 4Q,$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad (\operatorname{ad}_{J})^{-1} = \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{ad}_{J}.$$

 ad_J has a kernel B: these are all block-diagonal matrices;

$$\operatorname{ad}_J B = [J, B] = 0, \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0\\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix};$$

 ad_J has an image R: these are all block-off-diagonal matrices R. ad_J can be inverted on its image:

$$\operatorname{ad}_{J}R = [J, R] \neq 0, \qquad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_{1} \\ R_{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \operatorname{ad}_{J}^{-1}R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}R_{1} \\ -\frac{1}{2}R_{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Zakharov and Shabat (1971): scalar NLS n = 1 and $\mathfrak{g} \simeq su(2)$; Manakov (1974): vector NLS $n \ge 2$ and $\mathfrak{g} \simeq su(n)$;

Numerous physical applications in nonlinear optics, plasma physics, hydrodynamics etc. Review paper: VSG **ArXive:** nlin.SI/0604004.

The compatibility condition is the multicomponent NLS equation

$$i\boldsymbol{q}_t + \boldsymbol{q}_{xx} + 2(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{r})\boldsymbol{q}(x, t) = 0,$$

$$-i\boldsymbol{r}_t + \boldsymbol{r}_{xx} + 2(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q})\boldsymbol{r}(x, t) = 0.$$
 (6)

Put $\boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{q}^{\dagger}$ to get vector NLS:

$$i\boldsymbol{q}_t + \boldsymbol{q}_{xx} + 2(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}^{\dagger})\boldsymbol{q}(x, t) = 0, \qquad (7)$$

The Riemann-Hilbert problem

Asymptotic Lax operator: $Q(x,t) \to 0$ for $x \to \pm \infty$;

$$L_0 \equiv i \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial x} - \lambda J \psi_0(x, \lambda) = 0, \qquad \psi_0(x, \lambda) = e^{-i\lambda J x}$$

Jost solutions: $\lim_{x \to \infty} \Psi(x, \lambda) e^{i\lambda Jx} = \mathbb{1}, \qquad \lim_{x \to -\infty} \Phi(x, \lambda) e^{i\lambda Jx} = \mathbb{1},$

Fundamental analytic solutions They are constructed from the Jost solutions:

$$\Psi(x,\lambda) = \left(\Psi_{(1)}^{-}(x,\lambda), \Psi_{(2)}^{+}(x,\lambda), \dots, \Psi_{(N)}^{+}(x,\lambda)\right)$$
$$\Phi(x,\lambda) = \left(\Phi_{(1)}^{+}(x,\lambda), \Phi_{(2)}^{-}(x,\lambda), \dots, \Phi_{(N)}^{-}(x,\lambda)\right)$$

$$\chi^+(x,\lambda) = \left(\Phi^+_{(1)}(x,\lambda), \vec{\Psi}^+(x,\lambda)\hat{a}^+\right)$$
$$\chi^-(x,\lambda) = \left(\Psi^-_{(1)}(x,\lambda)/a^-(\lambda), \vec{\Phi}^-(x,\lambda)\right)$$

The Jost solutions are linearly related by the scattering matrix $T(t, \lambda)$:

$$\left(\Phi_{(1)}^{-}(x,\lambda),\vec{\Phi}^{+}(x,\lambda)\right) = \left(\Psi_{(1)}^{+}(x,\lambda),\vec{\Psi}^{-}(x,\lambda)\right)T(t,\lambda)$$

$$\chi^+(x,\lambda) = \chi^-(x,\lambda)G_0(\lambda), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Riemann-Hilbert problem:

$$\xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda) = \chi^{\pm}(x,\lambda)e^{i\lambda Jx}.$$

 $\xi^{+}(x,\lambda) = \xi^{-}(x,\lambda)G(x,\lambda), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}; \quad G(x,\lambda) \Rightarrow \text{sewing matrix.}$ $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda) = \mathbb{1}, \qquad \text{canonical normalization.}$

$$G(x,\lambda) = e^{-i\lambda Jx} G_0(\lambda) e^{i\lambda Jx}.$$

Definition: $\xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda)$ is a regular solution of RHP if det $\xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda) \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{\pm}$.

Theorem: RHP with canonical normalization has unique regular solution. **Proof** Let $\xi_{1,2}^{\pm}(x,\lambda)$ be two regular solutions of the same RHP. Then:

$$\xi_1^+(x,\lambda)\hat{\xi}_2^+(x,\lambda) = \xi_1^-(x,\lambda)G(x,\lambda)\hat{G}(x,\lambda)\hat{\xi}_2^-(x,\lambda) = \xi_1^-(x,\lambda)\hat{\xi}_2^-(x,\lambda),$$
$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \xi_1^+(x,\lambda)\hat{\xi}_2^+(x,\lambda) = \mathbb{1}.$$

Liouville theorem: if the function $F(\lambda)$ is analytic for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and has no singularities in λ then $F(\lambda) = \text{const}$.

$$\xi_1^+(x,\lambda)\hat{\xi}_2^+(x,\lambda) = \mathbb{1},$$

i.e.

$$\xi_1^+(x,\lambda) = \xi_2^+(x,\lambda).$$

Equivalence of RHP and Lax representations

Remember:

$$L\chi^{\pm}(x,\lambda) \equiv i\frac{\partial\chi^{\pm}}{\partial x} + (Q(x) - \lambda J)\chi^{\pm}(x,\lambda) = 0,$$
$$\mathcal{L}\xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda) \equiv i\frac{\partial\xi^{\pm}}{\partial x} + Q(x)\xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda) - \lambda[J,\xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda)] = 0,$$

Theorem [Zakharov, Shabat]. Let $\xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda)$ be solution to a RHP with canonical normalization and $G(x,t,\lambda)$ such that:

$$i\frac{dG}{dx} - \lambda[J, G(x, \lambda)] = 0,$$

Then

$$i\frac{d\xi^{\pm}}{dx} + Q(x)\xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda) - \lambda[J,\xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda)] = 0,$$

Proof:

$$g^{\pm}(x,\lambda) = i\frac{d\xi^{\pm}}{dx}\hat{\xi}^{\pm}(x,\lambda) + \lambda\xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda)J\hat{\xi}^{\pm}(x,\lambda).$$

$$g^{+}(x,\lambda) = i\frac{d(\xi^{-}G)}{dx}\hat{G}\hat{\xi}^{-}(x,\lambda) + \lambda\xi^{-}GJ\hat{G}\hat{\xi}^{-}(x,\lambda)$$

$$= i\frac{d\xi^{-}}{dx}\hat{\xi}^{-}(x,\lambda) + \xi^{-}\left(i\frac{dG}{dx}\hat{G} + \lambda GJ\hat{G}(x,\lambda)\right)\hat{\xi}^{-}(x,\lambda)$$

$$= i\frac{d\xi^{-}}{dx}\hat{\xi}^{-}(x,\lambda) + \xi^{-}\left(\lambda[J,G]\hat{G} + \lambda GJ\hat{G}(x,\lambda)\right)\hat{\xi}^{-}(x,\lambda)$$

$$= i\frac{d\xi^{-}}{dx}\hat{\xi}^{-}(x,\lambda) + \lambda\xi^{-}J\hat{\xi}^{-}(x,\lambda)$$

$$\equiv g^{-}(x,\lambda), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus $g^+(x,\lambda) = g^-(x,\lambda)$ is analytic in the whole complex λ -plane except in the vicinity of $\lambda \to \infty$ where $g^+(x,\lambda)$ tends to λJ . Liouville theorem:

$$g^+(x,\lambda) - \lambda J = \text{const}$$

with respect to λ ; denote it -q(x) and get:

$$g^+(x,\lambda) - \lambda J = -Q(x).$$

$$0 - 10$$

$$Q(x) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda \left(J - \xi^{\pm}(x,\lambda) J \hat{\xi}^{\pm}(x,\lambda) \right).$$

Similarly one treats also the time dependence.

Singular solutions of RHP and the soliton solutions of NLEE. Dressing method

The one-soliton solutions. Dressing factor $u_1(x, \lambda)$:

$$\xi_1^{\pm}(x,\lambda) = u_1(x,\lambda)\xi_0^{\pm}(x,\lambda)u_{1-}^{-1}(\lambda), \quad u_{1-} = \lim_{x \to -\infty} u_1(x,\lambda).$$

$$\xi_0^{\pm}(x,\lambda) = \chi_0^{\pm}(x,\lambda)e^{i\lambda Jx}, \quad \xi_1^{\pm}(x,\lambda) = \chi_1^{\pm}(x,\lambda)e^{i\lambda Jx}.$$

$$i\frac{d\chi_0^{\pm}}{dx} + Q_0(x)\chi_0^{\pm}(x,\lambda) - \lambda J\chi_0^{\pm}(x,\lambda) = 0,$$

$$i\frac{d\chi_1^{\pm}}{dx} + Q_1(x)\chi_1^{\pm}(x,\lambda) - \lambda J\chi_1^{\pm}(x,\lambda) = 0,$$

Thus the dressing factor satisfies:

$$i\frac{du_1}{dx} + Q_1(x)u_1(x,\lambda) - u_1(x,\lambda)Q_0(x) - \lambda[J,u_1(x,\lambda)] = 0,$$

Need an anzatz for u. Must be singular in λ .

$$u_1(x,\lambda) = 1 + (c(\lambda) - 1)P_1(x), \qquad c(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1^+}{\lambda - \lambda_1^-},$$

 $P_1^2 = P_1$. If rank $P_1 = 1$, then:

$$P_1(x) = \frac{|n_1\rangle\langle m_1|}{\langle m_1|n_1\rangle},$$

Insert into the equation for $u_1(x,\lambda)$ and request that it holds for $\lambda = \lambda_1^+$, $\lambda = \lambda_1^-$ and $\lambda \to \infty$. This allows us to express $|n_1\rangle$ and $\langle m_1|$ in terms of the **regular solution** χ_0 only.

$$|n_1\rangle = \chi_{01}^-(x,t)|n_{01}\rangle, \quad \langle m_1| = \langle m_{01}|\hat{\chi}_{01}^+(x,t), \quad \chi_{01}^\pm(x,t) = \chi_0^\pm(x,t,\lambda_1^\pm)$$

$$Q_1(x,t) = Q_0(x,t) - (\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_1^-)[J, P_1(x,t)].$$

One-soliton solutions of MNLS eqs. (6):

$$Q_0(x) = 0, \quad Q_1(x,t) = -(\lambda_1^+ - \lambda_1^-)[J, P_1(x,t)],$$

 $P_1(x) = \frac{|n_1\rangle\langle m_1|}{\langle m_1|n_1\rangle}, \quad |n_1\rangle = e^{-i(\lambda_1^- x + \lambda_1^{-2}t)J}|n_{10}\rangle, \quad \langle m_1| = \langle m_{10}|e^{i(\lambda_1^+ x + \lambda_1^{+2}t)J},$

The two-soliton solutions of MNLS (6). Dressing factor $u_2(x, \lambda)$: Repeat the dressing starting from $\chi_1^{\pm}(x, t, \lambda)$:

$$\chi_2^{\pm}(x,t,\lambda) = u_2(x,t,\lambda)\chi_1^{\pm}(x,t,\lambda) = u_2(x,t,\lambda)\chi_1^{\pm}(x,t,\lambda),$$

Thus second dressing factor satisfies:

$$i\frac{du_2}{dx} + Q_2(x)u_2(x,\lambda) - u_2(x,\lambda)Q_1(x) - \lambda[J,u_2(x,\lambda)] = 0,$$

$$u_2(x,\lambda) = 1 + (c_2(\lambda) - 1)P_2(x), \quad c_2(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_2^+}{\lambda - \lambda_2^-}, \quad P_2(x) = \frac{|n_2\rangle \langle m_2|}{\langle m_2|n_2\rangle},$$

Now we express $|n_2\rangle$ and $\langle m_2|$ in terms of the **regular solution** χ_1^{\pm} **only**.

 $|n_2\rangle = \chi_1^-(x, t, \lambda_2^-)|n_{02}\rangle, \quad \langle m_2| = \langle m_{02}|\hat{\chi}_1^+(x, t, \lambda_2^+), \quad \chi_1^\pm(x, t) = u_1(x, t, \lambda)\chi_0^\pm(x, t, \lambda_2^+)$

$$Q_{2}(x,t) = Q_{1}(x,t) - (\lambda_{2}^{+} - \lambda_{2}^{-})[J, P_{2}(x,t)]$$

= $-(\lambda_{1}^{+} - \lambda_{1}^{-})[J, P_{1}(x,t)] - (\lambda_{2}^{+} - \lambda_{2}^{-})[J, P_{2}(x,t)].$

In order to obtain the solution of the vector NLS (7) we need to impose the reduction:

$$\lambda_j^- = (\lambda_j^+)^*, \qquad \langle m_{0j} | = (|n_{0j}\rangle)^{\dagger}.$$

Spectral meaning of the dressing

- Each dressing procedure adds a pair of discrete eigenvalues λ_j^+ and λ_j^- to the spectrum of L.
- The projectors P_j project onto the discrete eigen-subspaces of L corresponding to the discrete eigenvalues λ_j^{\pm} . It may be of rank ≥ 1 .

• Obviously the soliton solutions are rational functions of exponentials.

There are alternative methods for N-soliton solutions